FRONTIERS OF INTEGRATED ACADEMIC RESEARCH
International multidisciplinary peer-reviewed open-access journal
www.fiarjournal.in

Scientific Temper and East–West Philosophical Synthesis: Swami Vivekananda's Vision Beyond Religious Narrowness

Roshni Bharilya[1*] and Kishori Soni[2]

[1] *Maharaja Chhatrasal Bundelkhand University, Chhatarpur, Madhya Pradesh*

[2] *Govt. P. G. College, Gadakota, Sagar, affiliated with Rani Awanti Bai Lodhi University, Sagar, Madhya Pradesh*

*Corresponding author: drroshnibharilya14@gmail.com

Co-author: kishorisony11@gmail.com | Roshni Bharilya ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0005-4708-3194

Article type	Research Article	Received	05/10/2026
Volume and issue	Vol. 1, Issue 2	Published	26/10/2026

How to cite this article: Bharilya, R., & Soni, K. (2026). Scientific Temper and East–West Philosophical Synthesis: Swami Vivekananda's Vision Beyond Religious Narrowness. Frontiers of Integrated Academic Research, 1(2), 42–48. https://fiarjournal.in/article/fiar-2026-0206

Abstract

This article examines how Swami Vivekananda connected rational inquiry, spiritual experience, religious universality, and cultural exchange in selected lectures and writings. Through qualitative close reading of fifteen separately titled primary texts, it argues that his opposition to religious narrowness rests on three related principles: inherited authority must remain open to examination; spiritual development should express itself in human welfare; and cultural encounter should encourage reciprocal learning without compulsory assimilation. His use of scientific language makes religion answerable to questions about method and experience, although it does not establish the empirical truth of metaphysical claims. Similarly, his East–West synthesis challenges cultural contempt while retaining some broad civilisational categories. The article interprets his ideal society as an ethical aspiration rather than a detailed political blueprint. It concludes that Vivekananda's contribution lies in bringing reason, sympathy, national self-respect, and freedom of spiritual growth into a common discussion. His vision remains intellectually productive when its universal commitments are considered alongside its historical limitations.

Keywords: Swami Vivekananda; scientific temper; Practical Vedanta; religious pluralism; East–West synthesis; humanism; nationalism; utopian vision.

1. Introduction

The relationship between religion and reason becomes especially difficult when belief is treated as an inherited possession that must be defended against every question. Cultural difference can produce a similar defensiveness: communities begin to equate unfamiliar practices with moral inferiority. Vivekananda's selected works address both problems. They ask how spiritual commitment can remain serious without becoming exclusive, and how attachment to a civilisation can coexist with openness to other traditions.

This article proposes that these questions belong to a single ethical problem: the tendency to confuse a limited standpoint with universal truth. Scientific inquiry, religious interpretation, and intercultural dialogue all suffer when certainty closes off examination. Reading Vivekananda through this problem makes it possible to connect his philosophical arguments with his concern for dignity, social regeneration, and an improved collective life.

His opening Chicago address places religious acceptance beside national self-respect. He presents India's hospitality to persecuted communities as a basis for pride and condemns the destructive consequences of sectarianism. The significance is argumentative as well as rhetorical: national identity is associated with an ability to shelter difference. Pride becomes defensible through an inclusive ethical claim rather than

CC BY-NC 4.0

merely through membership in a community (Vivekananda, “Response” 1:1–3).

The interpretation developed here therefore avoids reducing him either to an advocate of religious sentiment or to an anticipator of present-day science. His work is more demanding than either description suggests. It presents religion as a field requiring thought, practice, and moral consequences, while asking cultures to recognise their own incompleteness.

2. Objectives and Method

The study has three objectives: to examine the relation between rational scrutiny and spiritual experience; to interpret religious universality without assuming doctrinal uniformity; and to connect East–West exchange with humanistic national regeneration and an ideal society.

The method is qualitative and interpretive. Fifteen titled texts from volumes one, two, and five of The Complete Works of Swami Vivekananda constitute the primary corpus. Lectures, an interview, notes, and an essay are read comparatively through the themes of authority, experience, diversity, sympathy, and cultural learning. Differences of genre matter: an interview represents a reported exchange, whereas fragmentary notes cannot be treated as a fully developed philosophical treatise.

“Scientific temper” is used here as an analytical term for habits of questioning, examining explanations, and withholding automatic assent. It is not presented as a later constitutional expression used by Vivekananda. Likewise, “utopian” identifies an aspiration towards better human relations; it does not imply that he supplied a comprehensive institutional design.

Citations use Vivekananda’s established author name, a shortened title, volume, and page range. Pagination follows the page-labelled Ramakrishna Mission Vivek Sanjivani e-library. The study is a primary-text analysis; its fifteen references are distinct works within a collected corpus, not fifteen independent scholars.

3. Rational Scrutiny and the Authority of Religion

In “Reason and Religion,” Vivekananda examines the difficulty of deciding between competing scriptural authorities. If each community regards its own book as sufficient proof, disagreement cannot be resolved through an appeal to another equally contested book. He therefore turns towards reason and the constitution of human beings as a shared ground of examination. His discussion also emphasises generalisation and explanation through the nature of things, connecting religious reasoning with procedures familiar from secular knowledge (Vivekananda, “Reason and Religion” 1:366–382).

The philosophical importance of this move is that authority becomes discussable. A believer may continue to value a sacred text, but the text’s status does not automatically settle every disagreement with people who do not share that commitment. A common conversation requires reasons that another participant can consider.

This does not mean that all religious questions become scientific questions. Explanations of meaning, obligation, and ultimate reality involve assumptions that cannot simply be transferred into experimental measurement. The article’s claim is narrower: Vivekananda challenges the exemption of religious assertions from intellectual examination.

Such a position also places responsibility upon the interpreter. When an explanation relies solely on the prestige of its source, questioning appears disloyal. When reasons are offered, questioning becomes part of understanding. Scientific temper, in this interpretive sense, concerns the quality of inquiry rather than the indiscriminate rejection of faith.

4. Experience, Yoga, and the Limits of Scientific Analogy

The introductory chapter of Raja-Yoga locates knowledge in experience and asks whether religion possesses an experiential basis comparable to that claimed by other forms of inquiry. Vivekananda interprets the founding insights of religious teachers as experiences and presents disciplined practice as a means through which spiritual understanding may become personally accessible. His emphasis moves attention from merely repeating doctrines towards investigating the conditions of knowing (Vivekananda, "Introductory" 1:125–136).

This is a substantial methodological proposal, but its implications require care. An experience can be important to the person who undergoes it without proving every explanation attached to it. The occurrence of an altered state of consciousness and the truth of a metaphysical interpretation are separate questions. A disciplined practice may help organise attention; that alone does not demonstrate claims concerning an immortal soul or ultimate reality.

The value of Vivekananda's analogy is therefore strongest where it challenges passive dependence on authority. It becomes weaker if treated as an equivalence between spiritual realisation and publicly testable scientific evidence. Recognising that distinction protects his argument from exaggerated claims made in its name.

In "Paper on Hinduism," he describes the Vedas as a treasury of discovered spiritual laws and employs comparisons with natural law. This language presents Hindu thought as a living pursuit of understanding rather than merely a closed inventory of inherited sentences (Vivekananda, "Paper" 1:6–19).

The analogy is philosophically revealing, but an analogy is not itself a demonstration. The present reading treats it as part of Vivekananda's effort to make spiritual knowledge intelligible within an intellectual environment that respected discovery, coherence, and explanation.

5. Religious Narrowness as a Failure of Perspective

Vivekananda's account of the frog in a well gives religious exclusiveness a memorable form. In "Why We Disagree," the frog cannot accept the scale of an ocean because its familiar environment supplies the limits of its imagination. The application extends to Hindu, Christian, and Muslim communities; the problem is a habit of enclosing the world within one's own inherited experience (Vivekananda, "Why" 1:4–5).

This parable allows a distinction between conviction and intellectual confinement. A person can hold a belief seriously while recognising that other people inhabit histories, languages, and experiences that the person has not fully understood. Narrowness begins when limited acquaintance is mistaken for exhaustive knowledge.

The story also gives self-criticism priority over accusation. If every community is capable of living within its own well, overcoming narrowness cannot mean exposing only the limitations of another religion. It must include examination of one's own habits of exclusion.

In contemporary application, the parable can support an educational practice: students may compare interpretations before deciding that unfamiliarity signifies irrationality. This application is the article's inference, rather than a claim that Vivekananda formulated a present-day classroom programme.

6. Universality without Compulsory Sameness

"The Way to the Realisation of a Universal Religion" questions the expectation that humanity can be brought to one method of spiritual thinking. Vivekananda views variation as a sign of intellectual life and interprets religious traditions as potentially supplementary. He also identifies coercion, contempt, and social exclusion as forces that obstruct independent growth (Vivekananda, "Way" 2:359–374).

This argument gives plurality a positive role. Difference is not merely an inconvenience to be tolerated until everyone reaches agreement. It can stimulate thought and expose assumptions that a closed community would otherwise leave untouched.

A distinction is nevertheless necessary between recognising persons and endorsing every proposition. Respect for a believer does not require accepting harmful practices or logically incompatible assertions as equally established. Universality becomes ethically stronger when it protects the freedom to disagree as well as the freedom to believe.

“The Ideal of a Universal Religion” develops a practical account of harmony that preserves individuality. Vivekananda rejects a universally identical philosophy, mythology, or ritual and considers different human inclinations towards action, devotion, contemplation, and philosophical inquiry. A workable religious ideal must make room for these differences (Vivekananda, “Ideal” 2:375–396).

The resulting model resembles a shared space for development rather than a single authorised creed. Its relevance to the article’s argument is that synthesis does not require the disappearance of distinctive traditions. Harmony is a relation between differences, not the abolition of difference.

7. Practical Vedanta and Human Dignity

The first Practical Vedanta lecture insists that philosophical ideals enter ordinary life. Vivekananda resists lowering an ideal merely to accommodate existing weakness and links confidence in oneself with a wider doctrine of spiritual oneness. The individual’s worth is therefore not exhausted by present failure, social standing, or inherited distinctions (Vivekananda, “Practical Vedanta I” 2:291–308).

This provides a religious foundation for the humanism examined here. Human beings matter because they possess an underlying spiritual dignity, not only because they are useful to a community. The ethical question becomes whether social conduct recognises the dignity that philosophical language proclaims.

Such a foundation does not automatically produce an egalitarian institution. An argument about shared spiritual being must still be translated into access, opportunity, and fair treatment. The interpretive contribution of Practical Vedanta is to expose the gap between universal affirmation and everyday exclusion.

The second lecture develops the recognition of the divine through familiar surroundings and living beings. Its treatment of Upanishadic narratives shifts attention from external appearances towards a transformed understanding of the world and the person (Vivekananda, “Practical Vedanta II” 2:309–327).

Read together, the lectures suggest that spiritual awareness should change how ordinary encounters are understood. A universal religious claim has limited ethical value if it leaves contempt and humiliation untouched.

8. Human Welfare as a Test of Spiritual Seriousness

In “Religion Not the Crying Need of India,” Vivekananda challenges the provision of religious instruction to people suffering from hunger. The address insists upon the urgency of bodily survival and criticises missionary priorities that fail to meet that need (Vivekananda, “Religion” 1:20).

The force of this intervention lies in its order of responsibility. An elevated account of salvation becomes morally inadequate when offered without attention to immediate suffering. This is not a complete theory of poverty, but it establishes a demanding criterion for religious action.

The argument also complicates an easy separation between spirituality and material improvement. If hunger matters to a spiritual teacher, economic conditions cannot be dismissed as irrelevant to religious life. Food, care, and relief become concerns through which a professed regard for human beings can be assessed.

The article interprets this as the practical meeting point of scientific temper and humanism. Inquiry asks whether an activity achieves its claimed purpose; sympathy asks whether it addresses the person's actual need. Both resist programmes that protect an institution's self-image while neglecting the people it claims to serve.

9. East–West Exchange beyond Cultural Contempt

The opening of "The East and the West" stages hostile descriptions through which Indians and Europeans misunderstand one another. Vivekananda identifies superficial observation and ignorance within these reciprocal judgements, while acknowledging visible suffering in India and questioning the monopoly of any nation over good qualities (Vivekananda, "East" 5:441–537).

This rhetorical structure matters. Readers are first confronted with the violence of caricature and then asked to look beneath it. The text's larger comparisons are not free from generalisation, but its criticism of mutual contempt creates an opening for more responsible encounter.

A defensible synthesis begins with the possibility that another society possesses resources one's own society needs. That possibility should not be confused with the assumption that an entire civilisation has one unchanging character. "East" and "West" are useful here as historical categories within Vivekananda's argument, not as exhaustive descriptions of internally diverse populations.

Reciprocal learning also requires attention to power. An exchange conducted under coercion cannot be understood simply as a free meeting of equal partners. Cultural appreciation must therefore be connected with the ability to choose, adapt, and reject.

In "India and England," Vivekananda links Indian regeneration with growth from within while allowing the assimilation of useful foreign ideas. The interview also criticises restrictive caste distinctions, yet retains an idealised account of an original caste order (Vivekananda, "India and England" 5:194–200).

The interview reveals both the strength and the tension of his position. National self-respect supports selective learning, but some inherited social categories remain insufficiently questioned. A critical reading should preserve both findings.

10. Intellectual Sympathy and the Critique of Fanaticism

"On Fanaticism" criticises the belief that a single reform can remedy every social evil. Vivekananda also contrasts condemnation with sympathy for the circumstances shaping a person's conduct and questions demands for unquestioning assent (Vivekananda, "Fanaticism" 5:242–244).

The text helps distinguish moral concern from moral obsession. Concern remains responsive to complexity; obsession reduces people to one habit, belief, or fault. The person disappears behind the reformer's preferred category.

Some examples in the discourse rely upon dated assumptions about women, marriage, and behaviour. Those passages should not be silently converted into present-day social or medical explanations. Their presence illustrates why rational scrutiny must apply to Vivekananda's statements as well as to the authorities he questioned.

The critical procedure is consistent with the article's thesis. A thinker's contribution can be recognised without granting every assertion permanent validity. Intellectual respect is compatible with identifying limits.

His fragmentary "Reason, Faith and Love" notes distinguish the limitations of reason, the tendency of isolated faith towards sectarianism, and the expansive movement of love. These notes present sympathy as an essential corrective to self-enclosed conviction (Vivekananda, "Reason, Faith" 5:425–426).

Within the present interpretation, reason disciplines assertion while sympathy disciplines the treatment of persons. Neither task can adequately replace the other.

11. Synthesis, National Regeneration, and Utopian Aspiration

"Buddhism, the Fulfilment of Hinduism" associates philosophical strength with the Buddha's compassion and concern for ordinary people. Vivekananda calls for their reunion as a resource for India's regeneration. His account, however, interprets Buddhism through a Hindu framework, so it should not be mistaken for a neutral statement of Buddhist self-understanding (Vivekananda, "Buddhism" 1:21–22).

This example clarifies both the promise and the risk of synthesis. Traditions may learn from one another, but the stronger interpretive framework can absorb the other's distinctiveness. A genuinely reciprocal encounter requires allowing the other tradition to describe itself.

The article's central finding is that Vivekananda's ideal society can be reconstructed through four relationships: inquiry with conviction, dignity with service, national confidence with cultural openness, and unity with diversity. These relationships supply standards for criticism rather than a finished social constitution.

Calling this vision utopian is useful only in that limited sense. It identifies an imagined improvement in human relations that can guide present conduct. It does not establish a prediction that conflict will disappear or that spiritual awareness alone will overcome structural inequality.

The ideal also contains an internal safeguard. Once a programme seeks to force all people into one form of life, it repeats the narrowness it intended to remove. Its ethical direction must therefore remain compatible with freedom, criticism, and the preservation of difference.

12. Conclusion

Vivekananda's vision beyond religious narrowness emerges from the connection between intellectual openness and ethical responsibility. Rational examination challenges the automatic authority of inherited claims. Experiential religion shifts attention towards practice and understanding. Practical Vedanta supplies a spiritual account of dignity, while the demand to address hunger insists that this dignity become socially consequential.

His East–West thought extends the same problem into cultural relations. A community cannot learn when it assumes either its total superiority or its complete worthlessness. Selective assimilation offers a way to retain self-respect while admitting incompleteness. Yet this approach remains limited where large civilisational categories or idealised social histories obscure lived differences.

The article therefore supports a critical, rather than celebratory, interpretation. Vivekananda does not scientifically establish every metaphysical proposition, eliminate every exclusion within his own discourse, or provide a comprehensive political design. He does bring questioning, sympathy, spiritual commitment, and national renewal into a productive relationship.

His utopian aspiration is most persuasive as an ethical demand: improve collective life without making sameness the condition of belonging. Religious and cultural traditions can contribute to that work when they remain open to scrutiny and make human welfare a measure of their seriousness.

Works Cited

1. Vivekananda, Swami. "Buddhism, the Fulfilment of Hinduism." 26 Sept. 1893. The Complete Works of Swami Vivekananda, vol. 1, Advaita Ashrama, pp. 21–22. Digital text: https://www.swamivivekananda.guru/1893/09/26/buddhism-the-fulfillment-of-hinduism.

2. Vivekananda, Swami. "The East and the West." The Complete Works of Swami Vivekananda, vol. 5, Advaita Ashrama, pp. 441–537. Digital text:

https://www.ramakrishnavivekananda.info/vivekananda/volume_5/writings_prose_and_poems/the_east_and_the_west.htm.

3. Vivekananda, Swami. “The Ideal of a Universal Religion.” The Complete Works of Swami Vivekananda, vol. 2, Advaita Ashrama, pp. 375–396. Digital text: https://en.wikisource.org/wiki/The_Complete_Works_of_Swami_Vivekananda/Volume_2/Practical_Vedanta_and_other_lectures/The_Ideal_of_a_Universal_Religion.

4. Vivekananda, Swami. “India and England.” The Complete Works of Swami Vivekananda, vol. 5, Advaita Ashrama, pp. 194–200. Digital text: https://www.ramakrishnavivekananda.info/vivekananda/volume_5/interviews/india_and_england.htm.

5. Vivekananda, Swami. “Introductory.” Raja-Yoga. The Complete Works of Swami Vivekananda, vol. 1, Advaita Ashrama, pp. 125–136. Digital text: https://www.ramakrishnavivekananda.info/vivekananda/volume_1/raja-yoga/introductory.htm.

6. Vivekananda, Swami. “On Fanaticism.” The Complete Works of Swami Vivekananda, vol. 5, Advaita Ashrama, pp. 242–244. Digital text: https://www.ramakrishnavivekananda.info/vivekananda/volume_5/notes_from_lectures_and_discourses/on_fanaticism.htm.

7. Vivekananda, Swami. “Paper on Hinduism.” 19 Sept. 1893. The Complete Works of Swami Vivekananda, vol. 1, Advaita Ashrama, pp. 6–19. Digital text: https://www.vrmvk.org/swami-vivekananda/complete-works/volume1/address-at-the-parliament-of-religions/paper-on-hinduism.

8. Vivekananda, Swami. “Practical Vedanta: Part I.” 10 Nov. 1896. The Complete Works of Swami Vivekananda, vol. 2, Advaita Ashrama, pp. 291–308. Digital text: https://www.ramakrishnavivekananda.info/vivekananda/volume_2/practical_vedanta_and_other_lectures/practical_vedanta_part_i.htm.

9. Vivekananda, Swami. “Practical Vedanta: Part II.” 12 Nov. 1896. The Complete Works of Swami Vivekananda, vol. 2, Advaita Ashrama, pp. 309–327. Digital text: https://www.ramakrishnavivekananda.info/vivekananda/volume_2/practical_vedanta_and_other_lectures/practical_vedanta_part_ii.htm.

10. Vivekananda, Swami. “Reason and Religion.” The Complete Works of Swami Vivekananda, vol. 1, Advaita Ashrama, pp. 366–382. Digital text: https://en.wikisource.org/wiki/The_Complete_Works_of_Swami_Vivekananda/Volume_1/Lectures_And_Discourses/Reason_And_Religion.

11. Vivekananda, Swami. “Reason, Faith and Love.” The Complete Works of Swami Vivekananda, vol. 5, Advaita Ashrama, pp. 425–426. Digital text: https://www.ramakrishnavivekananda.info/vivekananda/volume_5/writings_prose_and_poems/reason_faith_and_love.htm.

12. Vivekananda, Swami. “Religion Not the Crying Need of India.” 20 Sept. 1893. The Complete Works of Swami Vivekananda, vol. 1, Advaita Ashrama, p. 20. Digital text: https://www.swamivivekananda.guru/1893/09/20/religion-not-the-crying-need-of-india.

13. Vivekananda, Swami. “Response to Welcome.” 11 Sept. 1893. The Complete Works of Swami Vivekananda, vol. 1, Advaita Ashrama, pp. 1–3. Digital text: https://www.ramakrishnavivekananda.info/vivekananda/volume_1/addresses_at_the_parliament/v1_c1_response_to_welcome.htm.

14. Vivekananda, Swami. “The Way to the Realisation of a Universal Religion.” 28 Jan. 1900. The Complete Works of Swami Vivekananda, vol. 2, Advaita Ashrama, pp. 359–374. Digital text: https://en.wikisource.org/wiki/The_Complete_Works_of_Swami_Vivekananda/Volume_2/Practical_Vedanta_and_other_lectures/The_Way_to_the_Realisation_of_a_Universal_Religion.

15. Vivekananda, Swami. “Why We Disagree.” 15 Sept. 1893. The Complete Works of Swami Vivekananda, vol. 1, Advaita Ashrama, pp. 4–5. Digital text: https://www.vrmvk.org/index.php/swami-vivekananda/complete-works/volume1/address-at-the-parliament-of-religions/why-we-disagree.